

International Aspects of Recommendations from the President's Advisory Panel on Federal Tax Reform Panel

Rosanne Altshuler, Senior Economist
Jonathan Z. Ackerman, Senior Counsel

December 2, 2005

Starting Point for Recommendations

■ Executive Order

- Make the tax code simpler, fairer, and more pro-growth
- Recognize the importance of homeownership and charity
- Revenue neutrality
- Distribute tax burden in an “appropriately progressive manner”
- One option must use the current income tax system

■ Other Factors

- Limited consideration to individual and corporate income taxes
- Repeal the Alternative Minimum Tax
- Focus on simplicity
- Desire to reach consensus
- Federal Advisory Committee Act

Consideration of Reform Options

■ Four “Big Picture” Reform Approaches

- Reform of current income tax system
- Progressive consumption tax
- Partial replacement VAT
- National retail sales tax

■ Two Recommendations

- Simplified Income Tax Plan
- Growth and Investment Tax Plan

International Tax

- State of current international tax system
 - "A cumbersome creation of stupefying complexity."
 - "Is there anybody who really understands these rules? I think the answer is no."
 - "It's difficult to overstate the crises in the administration of the international tax system of the United States."
 - "Our current system is deeply, deeply flawed."

Current Income Tax System

- Encourages wasteful tax planning
- Results in uneven outcomes for firms depending on their planning sophistication and circumstances
- Likely distorts economic decisions to a greater extent and is more complex than a system that simply exempted active foreign business income from U.S. tax
- Despite its complexity, raises relatively little revenue from the foreign income of U.S. MNCs
 - Estimates suggest that exempting active foreign source income could raise revenues
- No consensus from experts on reform options

Panel Approach for International

- Level the playing field among companies
- Encourage growth
 - Lower the corporate tax rate
 - Reduce incentives for wasteful tax planning
 - Make businesses more competitive in their foreign operations
- Simplify the rules
- Require some disclosure of where profits are earned
- Focus on outbound policy
 - Panel did not address inbound policy directly
 - Encourage inbound investment by making U.S. a more attractive location for operations

Reform Alternatives for International Taxation

- Do nothing
- Incremental reform
 - For example, accelerate some provisions of AJCA
- Tax financial accounting income (“book income”)
 - Impose worldwide taxation with no deferral
 - Considerations
 - Not attractive as policy option unless corporate rate is substantially lower than current law
 - Substantive policy choices (consolidation, M&A, etc.)
- Dividend exemption (territorial)
 - Ultimately recommended after careful study
 - Panel proposal differs from the JCT option presented earlier this year

Simplified Income Tax Proposal

■ Territorial tax regime

- Income earned abroad by foreign affiliates (subsidiaries and branches) would fall into two categories--
 - “Foreign Business Income” would generally be exempt from U.S. taxation
 - “Mobile Income” would be taxed to U.S. parent on a current basis
- Foreign tax credit system would serve a more limited function
 - FTC still available to offset foreign tax paid (including withholding taxes) on Mobile Income
 - FTC would be available for withholding taxes on royalties
 - FTC basket rules replaced with a single overall FTC limitation

Simplified Income Tax Proposal

- Territorial tax regime expense allocation rules
 - Business expenses attributable to exempt foreign earnings would not be allowed as a deduction from U.S. taxes
 - Interest expense would be allocated between U.S. and foreign income on a basis that takes into account all debt – both U.S. and foreign (new AJCA rules).
 - Interest expense allocated to foreign income would then be further allocated between exempt and non-exempt foreign income
 - Parent general and administrative (G&A) expenses not directly charged out would be allocated to foreign income pro rata based on worldwide income.
 - G&A expense allocated to foreign income would then be further allocated between exempt and non-exempt foreign income.

Simplified Income Tax Proposal

- Territorial tax regime expense allocation rules (continued)
 - No parent R&D expenses would be allocated against exempt foreign-source income since royalty income generated by those expenses would be fully taxable at U.S. rate.
- Exemption and allocation rules will necessarily put pressure on transfer pricing regime
- Subpart F rules would be required
- Corporate rate lowered to 31.5 percent

Simplified Income Tax Proposal

■ Integration

- Exclude 100 percent of corporate dividends paid out of income subject to U.S. tax
 - Corporations will notify shareholders regarding proportion of dividends that can be excluded based on amount of income subject to tax in the U.S. relative to worldwide earnings in prior year
 - Also required to disclose domestic and worldwide revenues and income reported for financial accounting purposes
- Exclude 75 percent of capital gains received by individuals on sales of corporate stock in U.S. corporations if held for more than one year

■ Corporate residency (JCT option)

Simplified Income Tax Proposal: Concluding Remarks

- The territorial proposal deserves further study
 - Goal was not to draft legislation, but to present Treasury Secretary with a starting point. Many details of the regime yet to be worked out.
 - Plan represents a refinement of prior proposals
- The plan allows policy-makers to focus on important questions regarding taxation of cross-border income in today's global economy
 - For example, should royalties be taxed at a lower rate?
- International taxation represents one of the most difficult areas to address in tax reform

Growth and Investment Tax Plan

- Started with the Progressive Consumption Tax

- Businesses would be taxed on business cash flow at 30%

Receipts from Sales

Less: Purchases from Other Businesses

Less: Wages and Compensation

Equals: Taxable Business Cash Flow

- Expensing of new investment

- Ensures that after-tax return is the same as pre-tax return

- Eliminates interest deductibility

- Allowing both expensing of new investments and an interest deduction would result in a net tax subsidy to new investment

Growth and Investment Tax Plan

■ Panel recommended using destination basis

- Tax base = domestic consumption wherever produced
 - Sales to customers abroad, which are not consumed in the U.S., would be exempt from the tax while purchases from abroad, which are consumed in the U.S., would be included
 - Thus, “border adjustments” necessary to impose a destination basis tax
 - Royalties received from abroad are exempt
- “Transfer pricing” implication: since base is domestic consumption there is no incentive to over- or under-charge for sales with related parties
- Destination basis limits tax avoidance opportunities by “closing” the system
 - Using domestic consumption as a base prevents U.S. businesses from structuring transactions with foreigners to avoid U.S. taxes

Growth and Investment Tax Plan

- VATs in place around the world all use destination basis
- GATT/WTO - The historical distinction between indirect and direct taxes has no economic significance
 - Cash-flow tax is economically equivalent to a VAT with a wage subsidy
 - USTR may be required to negotiate with trading partners
- Do border tax adjustments matter?
 - Not when switching between destination and origin based tax (this is well known among economists but not accepted)
 - Switch from an income tax to a consumption tax would have economic implications

Growth and Investment Tax Plan

- Issues associated in implementing a border-adjusted cash-flow tax
 - Refunds (rate, evasion, etc.)
 - Short-term impacts/transition
 - Treaties
- Does scheduler tax on financial income create additional issues?
 - Impact on legality of border adjustments
 - Use of businesses to evade tax on capital - need anti-deferral regime

Value-Added Tax

- International consequences more certain
 - Border adjustable
 - Easier transition
- Refunds of VAT – potential for abuse
- Lower rate reduces some problems
 - 15 percent top individual rate
 - 15 percent corporate rate
 - 17.6 percent tax-exclusive VAT rate